CUTECat: Concolic Execution for Computational Law Pierre Goutagny*, Aymeric Fromherz, Raphaël Monat pierre.goutagny@inria.fr Inria



The Catala programming language

Catala [1, 5] is a domain-specific language designed to implement computational laws.

Article 1 a fixed percentage of the individual's income over a year. definition income_tax equals

income * tax_rate

Article 2

The fixed percentage mentioned at article 1 is equal to 20%. **definition** tax_rate **equals** 20%

Formula Article 3 Exception The income tax for an individual is defined as If the individual's income is less than \$10,000, the fixed percentage mentioned at article 1 is equal to 10%. exception definition tax rate under condition income <= \$10,000</pre> consequence equals 10%

Default case Article 4

Exception

If the individual is in charge of 3 or more children, then the fixed percentage mentioned at article 1 is equal to 15%. exception definition tax_rate

under condition nb_children >= 3 consequence equals 15%

Catala programs errors

Interpretation conflicts

To prevent implicit assumptions, Catala is designed to crash if two provisions apply at the same time. Such ambiguities must be resolved with lawyers.

Example: articles 3 and 4 will conflict when income = \$10,000 and nb_children = 4.

Unhandled cases

Catala also crashes when no rule applies. Example: the law stops applying after a date, and that date has passed.

Other bugs

Usual programming errors happen too. Example: division by zero, assertion errors...

Default term

Default term semantics



Concolic execution of default terms



Evaluate all exceptions, then count how many are *raised*:

- If exactly 1 exception is raised, then return its value
- Else if at least 2 exceptions are raised, then return \circledast (conflict)
- Else if 0 exceptions are raised, evaluate *b_{default}* and
- If $b_{default} = true$, then evaluate $e_{default}$
- Else if $b_{default} =$ **false**, then return \emptyset (empty)

Concolic execution

Concolic execution [3] combines *conc*rete and symbolic execution.



Execution trace

Step x y	Output	Collected constraints	Next path to try	
1 1 20	0	<i>x</i> > 0	$\neg(x > 0)$	SM
2 0 20	error	$\neg(x > 0) \land \neg(y < 10)$	$\neg(x > 0) \land y < 10$) SM
3 0 9	9	$\neg(x > 0) \land y < 10$	-	7 -

2	9,000	2	10%
3	11,000	4	15%
4	11,000	2	20%
5	no SAT execu	ition paths re	maining

Optimizations

For performance

- SMT solver incremental mode
- redundant constraint elimination
- reordering exceptions

For usability

Simplify the format of generated values using soft, optional constraints.

Example: round money to the unit

Results

- CUTECat tool [2, 4] integrated in Catala ecosystem
- On medium-sized implementation of French housing benefits law:
 - Generates \sim 200k test cases in 6h
 - Finds an interpretation conflict
 - Optimizations cut analysis time in half
 - 4.5x overhead w.r.t. Catala concrete interpreter, comparable to other symbolic analysis tools

[1] Catala website (2025), URL https://catala-lang.org/

[2] CUTECat peer-reviewed artifact (2025), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14677860

[3] Godefroid, P., Klarlund, N., Sen, K.: DART: Directed automated random testing. In: PLDI (2005), DOI: 10.1145/1065010.1065036

- [4] Goutagny, P., Fromherz, A., Monat, R.: CUTECat: Concolic Execution for Computational Law. In: ESOP (2025), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-91121-7_2
- [5] Merigoux, D., Chataing, N., Protzenko, J.: Catala: A programming language for the law. ICFP (2021), DOI: 10.1145/3473582

*Univ. Lille, Inria, CNRS, Centrale Lille, UMR 9189 CRIStAL, 59000 Lille, France